

214

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-31343 of 2017

Date of decision: September 07, 2017

Balwinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Gurmeet Singh and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate the petitioner.

None for respondent No.1.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr. DAG Punjab.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in complaint case No.92 dated 05.10.2015, titled as “Gurmeet Singh versus Baldev Singh and others”, under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 and under Section 3(x) of Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 (for short 'SC/ST Act).

Perused the copy of the complaint case. In so far as the attribution for the offence under Section 3(x) of SC/ST Act is concerned, in Para 3 of the complaint, allegations are against Baldev Singh, who is said to have abused in the name of caste. In so far as the allegation against the present petitioner-Balwinder Singh is concerned, the allegation is only about forging entries into the computer and nothing more.

The petitioner shall be released on anticipatory bail on his furnishing bail bonds amounting to ₹10,000/- with one surety of like amount, subject to the satisfaction of the arresting officer. Petitioner shall join investigation as and when required. Petitioner shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence, threaten or exercise any influence on the prosecution witnesses.

Petition stands disposed of.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

September 07, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No