

**111 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-32191-2016
Date of decision-24.01.2017**

Ranjit Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Ashok Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of Criminal Procedure Code for setting aside the order dated 04.07.2015 passed by learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate Faridabad (Annexure P-1) and the order dated 05.07.2016 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge Faridabad (Annexure P-2) and for a direction to learned Additional CJM, Faridabad to send the complaint of the petitioner to SHO Police Station City Ballabhgarh for investigation and report under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C.

Learned counsel has taken the Court to the contents of paragraph 4, 5 and 6 of the complaint (Annexure P-3) to state that the accused/respondents, in connivance with each other, had given false complaints against the petitioner in the name of false persons Hari Prashad, Vinod Aggarwal and Ram Swarup. He further states that by submitting these false complaints, accused/respondent had got departmental inquiry initiated against the petitioner and consequently he was being unnecessarily harassed.

The respondents had been nursing a grudge against the petitioner since he had earlier given complaints against them in which departmental inquiries as well as a case before Illaqa Magistrate Faridabad are pending against them.

On examination, this Court finds that the complaint moved by the petitioner, lacks in specificity and does not disclose any cognizable offence. Learned counsel has also referred to the vernacular and the certified copy which contains neither appendix nor annexures in support thereof.

Learned counsel relied upon the Division Bench judgment passed in *Criminal Appeal No.1239-1997* titled as “*Associated Cement Co.Ltd.Vs. Keshavanand*” and *Allahabad High Court* judgment passed in *CRM-12343-2007* titled as “*Santosh Kumari Vs. State of U.P.*” 2007 *Criminal L.J.3869*.

This Court feels that case of the petitioner does not fall within the parameters laid down in the cited judgments and the trial Court i.e. First Appellate Court has rightly dismissed the complaint. No case for interference is made out.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

Anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

January 24, 2017

vanita

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No