

203

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 31301 of 2017
Date of Decision: 17.11.2017**

Karam Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Randeep Singh Rana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

It is submitted on behalf of Ld. Counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has since joined investigation, on account of which confirmation of the interim bail is sought for.

2. The same is, however, opposed on behalf of Ld. State Counsel.

3. Ld. State Counsel submits that inspite of having joined the investigation, the petitioner is not cooperative. The money allegedly paid to him by the complainant for the false promise of getting an employment for his son is yet to be recovered.

4. Be that as it may, considering that the F.I.R. was lodged more

than one year after the date of last payment, as also there is no documentary evidence available to show that any money was actually delivered to the petitioner and in any case the purpose behind the alleged transactions was itself illegal and opposed to public Policy, this Court is not inclined to deny the benefit of bail to the petitioner.

5. Consequently, interim bail granted to the petitioner earlier is confirmed.

6. Disposed off.

17.11.2017
Apurva

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/ No