

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.32088 of 2016
Date of Decision: 24.01.2017**

Inder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present:Mr. Sandeep Chopra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

The grievance of the petitioner is that a cognizable offence was committed by the accused persons mentioned in the representation and the Police was required to act in terms of parameters laid down in **(2012) 4 Supreme Court Cases 1, Lalita Kumari Vs. State of U.P.**

At this stage, without commenting anything upon the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to consider the representation of the petitioner in the light of guidelines/parameters laid down in **Lalita Kumari's case (supra)** and pass appropriate order in accordance with law.

24.01.2017
prince

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether Reasoned/Speaking

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No