
Versus

..... Respondents

2008 was dismissed as withdrawn by the appellants, namely Tara Chand and another, in view of compromise arrived at between the parties.

3. Respondent No. 1 is represented by his counsel and does not dispute the compromise.

4. In view of the compromise Annexure A-1 (Colly), and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. The order dated 07.05.2003, passed by the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Rewari, in complaint, under Sections 420, 466, 467, 468, 471, 192 and 193 of the Indian Penal Code, is hereby quashed qua the present petitioners.

12.09.2017

Apurva

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

2. Whether reportable : Yes/ No