

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-31180 of 2017

Date of decision: 29.09.2017

Charanjit Singh and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Randeep S. Khaira, AAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for issuance of a direction to respondents No.1 and 2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the instance of police officials.

Reply by way of affidavit of Harmeet Singh Hundal, Superintendent of Police, Investigation, District Sangrur on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 filed in the Court is taken on record.

As per the reply, it is submitted that petitioner No.1 – Charanjit Singh has been convicted in FIR No.3 dated 04.01.2008 under Sections 15/61/85 of the NDPS Act, P.S. Sadar Samana and sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period of 10 years whereas petitioner No.2 – Jit Singh has been convicted in FIR No.55 dated 22.05.2005 under Sections 15 of the NDPS Act, P.S. Moonak and sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period of 10 years. Both the petitioners are involved in number of cases which is reproduced as under:-

“Against Petitioner – Charanjit Singh:

i) FIR No.3 dated 04.01.2008 U/s 15/61/85 of the

NDPS Act, P.S. Sadar Samana.

- ii) FIR No.152 dated 15.08.2017 U/s 21, 29/61/85 of the NDPS Act, P.S. Bhawanigarh.*
- iii) FIR No.3 dated 07.01.2017 U/s 21/61/85 of the NDPS Act, P.S. Sirhand.*
- iv) FIR No.145 dated 23.08.2017 U/s 22/61/85 of the NDPS Act, P.S. Dirba.*

Against Petitioner – Jit Singh

- i) FIR No.55 dated 22.5.2005 U/s 21, 29/61/5 of the NDPS Act, P.S. Moonak.*

Against Mohinder Singh s/o Lakha Singh resident of Dirba (Father of petitioners):

- i) FIR No.135 dated 07.09.2016 U/s 21/61/85 of the NDPS Act, P.S. Dirba.”*

It is also submitted that an enquiry has been duly conducted with regard to the grievances of the petitioners and the same has been found to be false in view of the fact that the petitioners are found involved in number of FIRs.

Heard.

In view of the above, this Court is of the considered opinion that the petitioners are the habitual offenders, therefore, no further action is called for.

Disposed of accordingly.

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

29.09.2017
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No