

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-31160-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : 04.09.2017

Shanu Chauhan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. B.S. Chauhan, Advocate,
for Mr. L.M. Gulati, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. A.S. Gill, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Harit Sharma, Advocate,
for respondent No.5.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

Prayer made in the instant petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, inter alia, is for transfer of investigation of case FIR No.0375 dated 15.11.2016, registered under Sections 376 and 420 of the Indian Penal Code, at P.S. Zirakpur, to some senior police officer of the rank of Superintendent of Police.

Contended that despite the fact that the present FIR was lodged on 15.11.2016, the police has not carried out any investigation under the influence of the private respondent. Respondent No.5, the main accused in the FIR, has been roaming free in the area and has been continuously extending threats to the petitioner.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that the matter was thoroughly investigated into and the statements of the parties as well as the witnesses were recorded. During investigation, the allegations levelled

by the petitioner in the FIR were found to be without any substance. As per the investigation report, the petitioner and respondent No.5 had an affair and the family members of accused-respondent No.5 had approached the family of the petitioner with marriage proposal. However, the mother of the petitioner was averse to the proposal, and subsequently, the petitioner also refused to marry him. Thereafter, respondent No.5 got engaged with one Geetika on 23.10.2016.

Heard.

It has come on record that the investigation in the matter stands concluded. The petitioner and respondent No.5, along with other family members and witnesses were joined in the investigation and their statements were also recorded. After thorough investigation, no substance was found in the allegations levelled by the petitioner in her complaint.

In this view of the matter, the Court feels that the present petition is ill-conceived and warrants dismissal.

Dismissed.

04.09.2017
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No