

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

112

CRM-M-31028-2017

DATE OF DECISION:23.08.2017

SHEENU SACHDEVA AND ANR.

... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. J.K. Singla, Advocate
for the petitioners.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking direction to respondents No.2 & 3 for protecting their life and liberty as they apprehend threat from respondents No.4 and 5.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that date of birth of the petitioner No.1 is 08.08.1992 while the date of birth of petitioner No.2 is 21.09.1989. The copies of their Aadhaar cards, in support thereof, are annexed as Annexures P-1 and P-2, respectively.

The petitioners are stated to have solemnized their marriage on 20.08.2017 and the photographs in this regard are annexed as Annexure P-3. It is further stated in the petition that the petitioners had also made a representation dated 22.08.2017 (Annexure P-4) to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Fatehabad, but no action has so far been taken thereon.

Issue notice to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Tanuj Sharma, learned AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the validity of the marriage and keeping in view the mandate of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P and another, JT 2006 (6) SC 173**, the petition is disposed of, with a direction to the respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Fatehabad to look into the matter and take appropriate action in accordance with law to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents.

August 23, 2017

SwarnjitS

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No