

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

-:-
CRM-M No. 31846-2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 3.4.2017

Anil Malhotra

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Nakul Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Mandeep S. Sachdev, Advocate for petitioner.
Ms. Manpreet Dhaliwal, AAG, Punjab.
Mr. Tushar Vijan, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

Ritu Bahri, J. (Oral)

Quashing of FIR No. 27 dated 7.5.2015 under Sections 406 and 498-A IPC registered at Police Station Women Cell, Jalandhar City, District Jalandhar is being sought on the basis of compromise deed dated 28.8.2016 (Annexure P-2).

Respondent No. 2 got married to petitioner on 28.11.2013. Due to temperamental differences, both the parties could not live together as husband and wife. The relationship between them became strained and thereafter, the F.I.R was registered against the petitioner on account of harassing and humiliating respondent No. 2 on the pretext of bringing insufficient dowry.

However, the matter has now been duly compromised, vide compromise dated 28.8.2016. In compliance of order dated 9.2.2017 report dated 18.3.2017 of Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class, Jalandhar has been received in this regard. As per report, statement of parties have been recorded. Complainant stated that the matter stands compromised between the parties and she does not want to proceed further against the accused and has no objection, if the present F.I.R be quashed against the petitioner. The compromise has been entered voluntarily. To the same effect is the statements

Consequently, in view of the status report dated 18.3.2017 and in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Dr. Arvind Barsaul etc. versus State of Madhya Pradesh and another 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 910***, no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No. 27 dated 7.5.2015 under Sections 406 and 498-A IPC registered at Police Station Women Cell, Jalandhar City, District Jalandhar, is quashed along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua petitioner.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

3.4.2017
preeti

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No