

**201 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM M-30958 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 19.12.2017.

Malkit Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. J.S. Lalli, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gulnoor Ghumman, AAG Punjab
assisted by ASI Raghbir Singh.

Mr. N.S. Dadwal, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438
Cr.P.C seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 07 dated 06.03.2017
registered under Sections 406, 498-A and 506 IPC at Police Station
Women Cell, Jagraon, District Ludhiana.

On 27.11.2017 this Court had passed the following
order:-

“Heard.

The petitioner is directed to join the investigation and appear
before the Investigating Officer on 29.11.2017 at 11.30 a.m.,
in the concerned Police Station.

Post again on 19.12.2017.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the

Arresting Officer, he shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:-

1. That he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;
2. That he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;
3. That he shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.

The petitioner is directed to appear before this Court on the date fixed i.e. 19.12.2017”

Learned State counsel, on instructions, states that though, the petitioner has joined the investigation however, except few clothes, no recovery has been effected. She asserts that gold ornaments weighing 25 gm were handed over to the petitioner.

The petitioner undertakes to deposit before the trial Court rupees one lakh towards the value of the jewellery and other articles allegedly received by the petitioner, within two weeks from today. It is further submitted by the learned counsel that in pursuance of the order dated 27.11.2017, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

In view of the undertaking given by the petitioner, the order dated 27.11.2017 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to furnishing of bail-bonds/surety bonds by the petitioner to the

satisfaction of the CJM/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The amount so deposited by the petitioner be released in favour of the complainant/wife. However, in case the undertaking made at bar is not honoured, the present petition shall be deemed to be dismissed without further notice.

Disposed of.

19.12.2017.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No