

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-30930 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 24.8.2017

Pargat Singh and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Premjit Singh Hundal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- . - .-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioners have entered into an agreement of sale with respondent No. 4 on 30.9.2011. Respondent No. 5 did not honour the agreement. Now, suddenly, the police is calling the petitioners to police station and compelling them to refund the earnest money.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. C.L. Pawar, Senior DAG Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of official respondents No. 1 to 4 only.

In these circumstances, petition is disposed of with a direction to official respondents No. 1 to 4 not to summon the petitioners to police station without issuing notice under Section 160 Cr.P.C. specifying the reason for which they are being summoned.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

24.8.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No