

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

101

**CRM-M-30811-2017 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION:23.08.2017**

MANGI SINGH

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. R.K. Bajaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.83 dated 05.05.2017, under Sections 307, 323, 324, 379, 148 and 149 of the IPC, registered at Police Station Division No.7, Jalandhar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the injury attributed to the petitioner is on the right shoulder of the complainant, which has been declared as simple in nature. He has furnished the medico-legal report of the complainant, which is taken on record.

Heard.

A perusal of the medico-legal report of the injured-complainant indicates that he has received as many as 19 injuries. Injury No.3 is an incised wound of 2 cm X 1.5 cm on the right shoulder and injury No.4 is also stated to be a reddish contusion 6 c.m. long on the right shoulder of the complainant and he has been advised x-ray for both these injuries.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the final report of x-ray was received which declared that there is no fracture.

Be that as it may. The petitioner has caused injuries with a *datar* on the right shoulder of the complainant, who has received as many as 19 injuries. Therefore, I do not find it to be a fit case to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Consequently, the instant petition stands dismissed.

August 23, 2017

SwarnjitS

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No