

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-30800-2017

Date of decision: 10.10.2017

Sukhraj Singh @ Sago

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S.MADAAN

Present: Mr.Vivek Salathia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms.Samina Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

H.S. MADAAN, J.

This petition for regular bail has been filed by petitioner –
Sukhraj Singh @ Sago – an accused in FIR No.20 dated 29.1.2009, under
Sections 452, 328, 34 IPC, registered with Police Station Jandiala Guru,
District Amritsar.

Briefly stated, the facts of the case as per prosecution story
are that a boy namely Sawal, resident of Jandiala Guru used to harass
complainant Satbir Kaur daughter of late Sardool Singh of Mazbi Sikh
community, resident of House No.1352 Chowk Shaheed Udham Singh

Jandiala Guru ,aged about 23 years; that Sawal wanted to have friendship with Satbir Kaur but she did not give any response to him; that on 17.1.2009 at about 2:30 p.m., Sawal, Jugnu, Gagan and Sukhraj Singh @ Sago (present petitioner) went to the house of Satbir Kaur; that Jugnu and Gagan caught hold of Satbir Kaur from her arms, Sawal caught hold of her from her hairs and put some poisonous thing in her mouth with an intention to kill her; that she raised an alarm which attracted her brother and mother; that he was removed to hospital. In her statement to the police, she stated that Sawal, Jugnu, Gagan and Sago in connivance with each other had put poisonous medicine in her mouth. In the inquiry, accused Jugnu and Gagan were found innocent and only accused Sawal Singh was tried. However, complainant Satbir Kaur, her brother Sarabjit Singh and mother Smt.Jaspal Kaur did not support the prosecution story. Thus, accused Sawal Singh was acquitted by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Amritsar vide order dated 16.10.2012. Accused Sukhraj Singh @ Sago (present petitioner) could not be arrested and was declared a proclaimed offender. Later on, he was apprehended and presently he is lodged in Central Jail, Amritsar. He had filed an application for regular bail in the Court of Sessions, which was declined by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Amritsar vide order dated 5.8.2017, as such, he has approached this Court with same request.

Notice of the petition was given to respondent – State and counsel representing the State has put in appearance.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties besides going through the record and I find that there is no ground to grant concession of

regular bail to the petitioner.

Supplementary challan is yet to be filed against the petitioner. Statement of complainant and other eye-witnesses are yet to be recorded. Therefore, it would not be proper and appropriate to grant him benefit of bail at this stage since there are reasonable chances of his trying to influence and pressurize the witnesses and even of absconding, if granted concession of bail.

Therefore, finding no merit in the petition, the same stands dismissed.

10.10.2017
Brij

(H.S.MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No