

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

205

**CRM-M-30788-2017
DATE OF DECISION: 02.11.2017**

HARMAIL SINGH

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. S.S. Sodhi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Vermani, Asstt. A.G., Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.76 dated 23.06.2017, under Sections 420, 406, 120-B of IPC, registered at Police Station Amargarh, District Sangrur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations in the FIR are against son of the petitioner, namely, Gurmukh Singh who is alleged to have taken money from the complainant for sending him abroad. He further states that Gurmukh Singh has fled the country and therefore, the petitioner has been arraigned as an accused although there are no allegations against him in the FIR.

This Court, by the order dated 22.08.2017, had directed the petitioner to appear before the Investigating Officer and join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he was to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating/Arresting

Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Jagdev Singh, states that the petitioner has joined investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner and keeping in view the fact that the petitioner has joined investigation, the order dated 22.08.2017 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. He shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

02.11.2017

SwarnjitS

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No