

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No. M-31653 of 2016**

**Date of decision : 30.03.2017**

Balbir Singh and another ....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and anr. ...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI**

Present: Mr. Munish Puri, Advocate  
for the petitioners

Ms. Manpreet Dhaliwal, AAG, Punjab

Mr. S.K. Chaudhary, Advocate  
for respondent No. 2.

\*\*\*\*

**RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)**

Quashing of FIR No. 44 dated 13.04.2014 under Sections 406/498-A/120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Division No. 2 District Pathankot, is being sought on the basis of compromise deed dated 31.08.2016 (P-2)

Respondent No. 2 got married to petitioner No. 1 on 21.11.2011. Due to temperamental differences, both the parties could not live together as husband and wife. The relationship between them became strained. F.I.R was registered against the petitioners for harassing and humiliating respondent No. 2 on the pretext of bringing insufficient dowry.

However, the matter has now been duly compromised, vide compromise deed dated 31.08.2016 (P-2)

In compliance of order dated 08.09.2016, report dated 07.03.2017 of Judl. Magistrate 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Pathankot has been received in this

regard. As per report, statement of parties have been recorded. The complainant stated that the matter stands compromised between the parties she does not want to proceed further against the accused and has no objection, if the present F.I.R be quashed against the petitioners. The compromise has been entered voluntarily. To the same effect is the statements given by the petitioners.

Consequently, in view of the status report dated 07.03.2017 and in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Dr. Arvind Barsaul etc. versus State of Madhya Pradesh and another 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 910***, no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No. 44 dated 13.04.2014 under Sections 406/498-A/120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Division No. 2 District Pathankot, is quashed along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of.

30.03.2017  
G Arora

(RITU BAHRI)  
JUDGE

|                                         |                   |
|-----------------------------------------|-------------------|
| <b><i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i></b> | <b><i>Yes</i></b> |
| <b><i>Whether reportable</i></b>        | <b><i>No</i></b>  |