

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

1. CRM-M-30747-2017

Lovepreet Singh & others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

.... Respondents

2. CRM-M-30325-2017

Sandeep Kumar @ Sunny & others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

.... Respondents

3. CRM-M-30277-2017

Sandeep Kumar @ Sunny & others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

.... Respondents

Date of decision : 25.10.2017

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

*** * * ***

Present : Mr. Jaswinder Singh Grewal, Advocate
for the petitioners in CRM-M-30747-2017 &
for the private respondent in CRM-M-30325-2017 &
CRM-M-30277-2017.

Ms. Manpreet Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioners in CRM-M-30325-2017 &
CRM-M-30277-2017 and for the private respondents in

CRM-M-30747-2017.
Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

These are the petitions filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No. 74 dated 23.08.2016, registered under Sections 307, 342 & 149 IPC and Section 25/27/54/59 of the Arms Act and cross case bearing DDR No. 23 dated 28.08.2016 registered under Sections 323, 341, 356 and 34 of the IPC and also FIR No. 56 dated 21.06.2016, registered under Sections 324, 323, 427, 148 and 149 of the IPC, at Police Station Sadar Abohar, Tehsil Abohar, District Fazilka along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise entered into between the parties involved.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Learned counsel appearing for the rival parties submit that compromise has been arrived at between the parties.

This Court had made order dated 23.08.2017 in CRM-M No. 30747 of 2017 and dated 21.08.2017 in CRM-M Nos. 30325 and 302377 of 2017, asking for recording of the statements of the parties in order to find out the correctness and genuineness of the compromise said to have been arrived at between the parties.

Accordingly, statements have been recorded by the trial Court and report has been received by this Court. Looking to the report, it is seen that the compromise is voluntary and without any threat, coercion and undue influence. I have seen the offences registered against the petitioners in all the three petitions. Looking to the nature of the offences, I think the compromise/compounding should be allowed.

In view of the above, I make the following order:

- (i) CRM-M Nos. 30747, 30325 & 30277 of 2017 are

allowed.

- (ii) The FIR No. 74 dated 23.08.2016, registered under Sections 307, 342 & 149 IPC and Section 25/27/54/59 of the Arms Act and cross case bearing DDR No. 23 dated 28.08.2016 registered under Sections 323, 341, 356 and 34 of the IPC and also FIR No. 56 dated 21.06.2016, registered under Sections 324, 323, 427, 148 and 149 of the IPC, at Police Station Sadar Abohar, Tehsil Abohar, District Fazilka, are quashed by way of compounding/compromise along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioners herein subject to non-refundable cost of Rs. 2,000/- by each petitioner payable to the State of Punjab.

October 25, 2017
Ansari

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No