

**205 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.31616 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : December 02, 2017

Kartar Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: None for the petitioner.

Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

This petition is filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for directing respondent No.5 not to forcibly take away the vehicle of the petitioner with the help of recovery agents.

It comes out that this Court vide order dated 14.09.2016 recorded the contention of the petitioner that a sum of ₹75,850/- is due from the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner undertook to bring a demand draft of ₹76,000/- as recorded in the order dated 02.08.2017 passed by this Court. Thereafter, despite repeated adjournments, the amount was not paid by the petitioner. Later on, on the last two dates, learned counsel for the petitioner absented himself from the proceedings.

It appears that the petitioner does not want to pay anything and is no more interested in the petition.

Dismissed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

December 02, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No