

262

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-30733 of 2017

Date of decision: September 04, 2017

Manpreet Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Ms. Satwant Mehta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr. DAG Punjab.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in FIR No.15 dated 26.10.2016, under Sections 18/21/29/61/85 of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substance Act, 1985 (for short 'NDPS Act') read with Section 42 of Prison Act, 1894, registered at Police Station SSOC, District Amritsar.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from the police official, states that there is no other case against the petitioner under the NDPS Act, but there are cases under Indian Penal Code, 1860.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has invited my attention to a letter dated 02.08.2017 (Annexure P-3) in which it is stated that the plea for parole cannot be considered unless the bail in the present matter is granted.

In that view of the matter, petitioner is ordered to be

released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned, for a period of 2 months only from the date of his actual release. Petitioner shall not tamper, influence and threaten the prosecution witnesses and complainant as well.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

September 04, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No