

AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-30717-2017(O&M)

Date of decision : 20.09.2017

Gaurav and others

.... Petitioners

vs

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B.CHAUDHARI

Present : Mr. Rishi Pal Rana, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr.Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Mr.Manoj Kumar Pundir, Advocate for respondent No.2.

A.B.CHAUDHARI, J. (Oral)

The petitioners have filed the present petition for quashing of FIR No.144 dated 10.02.2017, under Sections 379/379-B/34 IPC, registered at Police Station Karnal City, District Karnal on the basis of compromise(Annexure P-2).

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the offences are compoundable. Learned State counsel submits that complainant's evidence has been recorded as PW1 and he has fully supported the prosecution case against the petitioner.

It appears that after recording of evidence of the prosecution witnesses the petitioner has moved this petition for compounding of the offences which cannot be accepted as it amounts to tampering of evidence of the prosecution. Apart from that, this case does not fall within the realm

of the ratio decidendi culled out in the case of **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**, 2012(4) RCR(Criminal) 543.

Petition is dismissed.

September 20, 2017
Sunita

(A.B.CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No