

CRM-M-30629-2017

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-30629-2017**  
**Date of Decision: 30.08.2017**

Ranjit Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH**

Present: Mr. Gurpreet Singh Sandhu, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Garg Dhuriwala, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

**INDERJIT SINGH, J.**

Petitioner-Ranjit Kaur has filed this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.05 dated 18.01.2016, registered at Police Station Maur, District Bathinda, under Sections 302, 364, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion has been issued. Learned State counsel has appeared and contested the instant petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

From the record, I find that as per the prosecution version, four accused namely Lakha Singh alias Lakhu, Pal Singh, Arshdeep Singh alias Sandeep and Gurdeep Singh, who were armed with *daangs* and sticks, caused injuries to Jarnail Singh with an intention to kill him.

**CRM-M-30629-2017**

Thereafter, Jarnail Singh was taken to the house of Lakha Singh where wives of Lakha Singh and Pal Singh and their father-Jagroop Singh, who were armed with *daangs*, started beating to Jarnail Singh.

No specific injury has been attributed to the present petitioner, who is wife of Lakha Singh. She has been stated to be armed with *daang*. Another lady i.e. wife of Pal Singh has been found innocent by the police.

Moreover, complainant and eye-witnesses have already been examined. The petitioner is a lady and has been in custody since 25.03.2016. She is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as she is in judicial custody. The trial of the case will take long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping her in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to her furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹50,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

**30.08.2017**  
parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

|                           |   |     |
|---------------------------|---|-----|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes |
| Whether reportable        | : | No  |