

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-30590 of 2017(O&M)

Date of Decision: August 28, 2017

Bhupinder Singh

...Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr.J.S.Mundi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. against State of Punjab and other respondents for quashing impugned orders dated 05.07.2017 passed by learned trial court vide which application filed by the petitioner for taking action against Gurbax Singh for giving false affidavit, was dismissed.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have gone through the record.

From the record, I find that the petitioner filed application before learned trial court for taking action against Gurbax Singh and to cancel the bail granted to Baljinder Kaur accused. It is stated in the application that Gurbax Singh, who stood surety for Baljinder Kaur on 21.04.2016, has already stood surety in case FIR No.15 dated 16.02.2015 in

specifically deposed that he has not stood surety for any other person.

Learned trial Court, after hearing the parties, held that there is no wrong averment as Gurbax Singh has not stood surety for any other person, rather, he has given the surety for the vehicle and the application was dismissed. Otherwise also, there is no bar that a person cannot stand surety for two persons. If a person is having sufficient properties, he can stand surety for more than one person.

In view of the above discussion, I find that no illegality has been committed by learned JMIC, Khanna while passing the impugned order dated 05.07.2017.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

August 28, 2017
Vgulati

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No