

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-31397-2016

Date of decision: 14.3.2017

Anoop

....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present: Mr. B.D. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sultan Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. A.S. Khinda, Advocate for respondent No.2.

REKHA MITTAL, J.(Oral)

Counsel for the petitioner and complainant are *ad idem* that dispute between the parties has been settled in Mediation and Conciliation Centre of the Court vide settlement agreement dated 18.01.2017.

Counsel for the complainant would further state that in view of settlement of dispute, the complainant does not contest the application for grant of pre-arrest bail.

Counsel for the State concedes that the petitioner has already joined investigation in compliance with interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 07.09.2016.

In view of the above, the petition stands disposed of. Interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 07.09.2016 is made absolute subject to the following conditions:-

- (i) he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;
- (ii) he shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;
- (iii) he shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.

MARCH 14, 2017

'D. Gulati'

(REKHA MITTAL)

JUDGE