

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-31378-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: March 07, 2017.

Rajesh Singh

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Punjab and another

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri L.S. Mann, Advocate for the petitioner.
Shri Abhishek Chautala, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.
Ms. Chhavi Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.2.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

Heard learned Counsel for the rival parties. The petitioner seeks quashing of FIR No.80 dated 9.9.2014, under Sections 380, 454, 411 IPC, PS Shahpur Kandi, District Pathankot on the basis of compounding/compromise. Pursuant to the order dated 23.11.2016, report of the learned CJM, Pathankot has been received who has recorded the statements of the parties and reported that the compromise has been effected voluntarily, without any pressure or coercion. In that view of the matter, the petition is allowed and the impugned FIR, along with all consequential proceedings, is quashed by way of compounding/ compromise.

March 07, 2017.

kadyan

[**A.B. Chaudhari**]
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No