

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-31370-2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 14.07.2017

PAWANDEEP KAUR AND ANOTHER

... Petitioners

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH.**

Present: Mr. Charanpal Singh Bagri, Advocate
 for the petitioners.

 Mr. C.L. Pawar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

 Mr. Chandeeep Singh, Advocate,
 for the complainant.

KULDIP SINGH, J (ORAL)

Status report by way of an affidavit of Gurvinder Pal Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Samrala, District Ludhiana, on behalf of respondent No. 1 to 4 has been filed in the Court today. The same is taken on record.

The perusal of the said status report shows that in the FIR No. 164 dated 20.08.2016 registered under Sections 323,452,325,506 read with 34 IPC at Police Station Samrala, District Ludhiana, the present petitioner No. 1, Pawandeep Kaur, was found to be innocent. However, Section 452 IPC was deleted qua the remaining accused-petitioner No. 2. Therefore, the petition qua the said FIR filed by the petitioner has become infructuous.

The second part of the petition is that the petitioners have filed a complaint which should be enquired into in an expeditious manner.

Mr. Chandeeep Singh, Advocate, who has appeared on behalf of the complainant of his own has submitted a photocopy of the enquiry report dated 22.02.2017 of DSP, Samrala, duly forwarded by the Senior Superintendent of Police, Khanna to the Director General of Police, Chandigarh (Punjab) vide letter No. 525/PC dated 31.03.2017. The same is taken on record. In the said report, it is submitted that the complaint was found to be false.

In these circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to file criminal complaint before the concerned Illaqa Magistrate regarding the said offence, if they so desire.

July 14, 2017
Suresh Kumar

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking / reasoned</i>	:	✓ Yes / No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	:	✓ Yes / No