

**114 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-30399-2017
Date of decision-13.09.2017**

Ramji Dass

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Ms. Sarika Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

This petition under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code has been filed for quashing of impugned order dated 24.04.2012 (Annexure P-3) passed by learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, SBS Nagar in FIR No.63 dated 17.07.2011 registered under Sections 420 and 494 of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Sadar, District Nawanshahar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the marriage between the petitioner and respondent No.2 was solemnized on 19.12.2007 at Delhi. Thereafter, the petitioner and respondent No.2 moved to U.K. The complainant had lodged the FIR on 17.07.2011 alleging that the petitioner married to a lady who is almost 20 years younger to him. The cause of action arose in the United Kingdom and the complaint was moved to the High Commission of London after concealing the address of the petitioner. Therefore, he could not caused appearance before the Court.

Heard.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. A.S.Gill, Senior DAG, Punjab

accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. A complete copy of the paper book has been furnished to the learned counsel, in the Court.

In this view of the above and considering the fact that the petitioner was declared a proclaimed offender on 24.04.2012, no case for quashing the order is made out at this stage. However, it is ordered that in case the petitioner surrenders before the competent Court within four weeks from today, he be admitted to bail, on his furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds, to its satisfaction.

Disposed of, accordingly.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

September 13, 2017

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No