

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

203

**CRM-M No. 3034 of 2017
Date of decision: 23.5.2017**

PREMJIT VASHIST

.....petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB & ANR

.....respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Ashok Bhardwaj , Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S.Ghumman, Addl.A.G.Punjab.

Mr.Sumeet Puri, Advocate
for the complainant.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(oral)

On 31.1.2017, following order was passed by this

Court:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that on recommendation of the Chairman of Punjab State Scheduled Caste Commission, S.S.P. deputed S.H.O., P.S. Longowal, to inquire into the episode leading to filing of complaint in question. The S.H.O. while submitting his inquiry report dated 14.11.2015 has commented upon the veracity of allegations contained in the complaint and found the same to be

false.

Notice of motion for 10.03.2017.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to appear before the trial Court on the date fixed i.e. 22.02.2017. On his appearance, trial Court shall admit the petitioner on interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to its satisfaction.”

Thereafter, learned counsel for the complainant sought time to place on record certain documents and to address arguments.

Having considered the controversy, I am of the prima facie view that the order dated 31.1.2017 needs to be confirmed.

Ordered accordingly.

Petition stands disposed of.

May 23, 2017
anita

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/Speaking

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No