

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.246

CRM-M No.34200 of 2012
Date of decision: 15.05.2017

Raj Singh Gehlot

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Dhruv Kapur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shubhra Singh, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Through the present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., quashing of complaint bearing No.810 of 2008 dated 21.08.2008 titled as 'Range Forest Officer vs. Raj Singh Gehlot', filed under Sections 32-33 of the Indian Forest Act, 1927, is prayed for.

At the very outset, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State has produced the following three letters, which are ordered to be taken on record as Mark 'A', 'B' and 'C'.

- (a) letter dated 16.10.2015 addressed by the Principal Chief Conservator of Forests, Haryana, Panchkula to the Divisional Forest Officer (T), Gurgaon.
- (b) Office Memorandum dated 12.04.2017, issued by the Principal Chief Conservator of Forests, Haryana, Panchkula, and
- (c) letter dated 12.04.2017 from the Principal Chief Conservator of Forests, Haryana, Panchkula to the Advocate General, Haryana, Chandigarh.

Learned State counsel submits that in view of the above three letters, the impugned complaint which is pending before the trial Court would be withdrawn by the State on the next date fixed.

In view of the above statement, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the present petition.

Disposed of accordingly.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

May 15, 2017

Jyoti 1

(i) Whether speaking/reasoned
(ii) Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No