

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-30294-2017

Date of decision: 21.08.2017

Tarsem Lal

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

PRESENT: Mr. Sumeet Puri, Advocate for the petitioner.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

1. In the instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., prayer has been made for issuance of directions to respondents No. 1 and 2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner, at the hands of respondents No. 4 and 5.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner at the very outset contends that the purpose of the instant petition would be achieved, if respondent No.3-Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur, is directed to decide the representation dated 12.05.2017 (Annexure P-1) moved by the petitioner, before respondent No. 2-Inspector General of Police, Patiala Zone, Patiala, a copy of which has also been sent to respondent No. 3.
3. In view of the above, respondent No. 3-Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur, is directed to look into the matter and decide the representation dated 12.05.2017 (Annexure P-1), moved by the petitioner, in accordance with law, by passing a speaking order and after affording an opportunity of hearing to him within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.
4. The instant petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

August 21, 2017

rishu

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable**

**Yes/No
Yes/No**