

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-30248 of 2017.

Decided on:-24.08.2017.

Raju alias Rajwinder Kaur.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab.

.....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present:- Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, AAG, Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(ORAL)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.39 dated 13.04.2017 under Section 306 IPC registered at Police Station City Rampura, District Bathinda.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is in custody since 15.04.2017. The FIR in question was lodged at the behest of complainant Angrej Kaur, mother of deceased Kuljit Singh. A news item was published in a leading Punjabi language newspaper regarding the incident, wherein a contradictory statement has been made by Suman i.e. wife of deceased Kuljit Singh. He further states that the Challan has been presented in the case and the conclusion of trial will take sufficient long time.

On the other hand, learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Sukhwinder Singh, states that the petitioner was on visiting terms with

the family of deceased Kuljit Singh and, therefore, the version of the complainant, as stated in the FIR is more authenticated than the news published in the newspaper.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Admittedly, there is no suicide note in the present case. As per the FIR, deceased Kuljit Singh and Harwinder Singh had consumed some poisonous substance after purchasing the same from the market as they were fed up with petitioner Raju alias Rajwinder Kaur and Sonia. The allegations are that the petitioner was maintaining illicit relations with deceased Kuljit Singh, whereas the other girl, namely, Sonia was having illicit relations with deceased Harwinder Singh. It is only during the trial, it can be established as to whether deceased had consumed poisonous substance being fed up from the petitioner or otherwise. The conclusion of trial is likely to take sufficient long time as only the Challan has been presented so far.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be admitted on bail subject to her furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court.

The observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

August 24, 2017
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No