

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-31098-2016
Date of Decision :21.02.2017**

PRABJOT SINGH @ JYOTI

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB & ANR

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Barjesh Kumar Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sidakmeet Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mohd. Salim, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By this petition, FIR No.53 dated 20.05.2014, registered against the petitioner at Police Station Raikot, District Ludhiana, for the alleged commission of offences punishable under Sections 324/341/34 I.P.C., is sought to be quashed, on the basis of a compromise arrived at between the petitioner-accused and respondent No.2, i.e. the complainant and injured.

Vide an order of this Court dated 05.09.2016, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court, to get their statements recorded, in terms of the compromise.

The report of the learned JMIC Jagraon has been received, to the effect that as regards the petitioner, Prabjot Singh @ Jyoti, both he and the respondent-complainant Hardeep Singh appeared before that Court and recorded their statements in respect of the compromise reached between them. Copies of the statements recorded have been annexed with the report.

As per the learned Judicial Magistrate, the compromise has been effected

between the parties without any pressure or coercion.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that the complainant does not dispute either the aforesaid report or the contents of the petition and submits that he has no objection if the FIR qua the petition is quashed.

Learned State counsel also submits that keeping in view the nature of the offences involved, he does not seriously oppose the quashing of the FIR, qua the petitioner.

However, it has been pointed out by that Court that two other accused are also named in the FIR, namely Jagjit Singh @ Jagga and Jagdeep Singh, with whom no compromise has been reached.

In view of the nature of the dispute seeing that the FIR has been registered for the alleged commission of offences punishable under Sections 324/341 read with Section 34 of IPC, even though the compromise reached between the complainant and the petitioner does not include the two other accused, I see no reason to continue the proceedings against the present petitioner.

Consequently, the petition is allowed and FIR No.53, dated 20.05.2014, registered against the petitioner and his co-accused at Police Station Raikot, District Ludhiana, for the alleged commission of offences punishable under Sections 324/341/34 IPC, along with all proceedings arising therefrom, is hereby quashed, qua the petitioner herein, i.e. Prabjot Singh @ Jyoti.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

February 21, 2017
Sunil Devi

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No