

214

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-30226 of 2017

Date of decision: August 29, 2017

Peter Masih and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. M.S. Bedi, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Vipul Babuta, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr. DAG Punjab.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Petitioners seek grant of regular bail in FIR No.27 dated 04.06.2017, under Sections 307, 324, 323, 326, 506, 148, 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 25, 27, 54, 59 of Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Kotli Surat Mallian, Police District Batala.

Upon hearing the learned counsel for the rival parties, I find that prima-facie, there is no evidence against petitioner No.1-Peter Masih and therefore, I order petitioner No.1 to be released on regular bail.

In so far as petitioners No.2 to 4 are concerned, learned State counsel, on instructions from Head Constable Amrik Singh, makes a statement that the challan would be filed within a week.

In my opinion, in so far as petitioners No.2 to 4 are concerned, they should be allowed to apply for regular bail before the trial Court after filing of challan.

In that view of the matter, petition qua petitioners No.2 to 4 is allowed to be withdrawn with liberty in their favour to apply before the trial Court after filing of challan. Let the challan be filed within 2 weeks.

However, petition qua petitioner No.1-Peter Masih is allowed. Petitioner No.1 shall be released on bail subject to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned. Petitioner No.1 shall not tamper, influence and threaten the prosecution witnesses and complainant as well.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

August 29, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No