

**203 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-31091 of 2016.

Date of Decision: 07.02.2017.

Sheeli

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. Saneep Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 the Cr.P.C seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No.62 dated 13.04.2016, registered under Sections 324, 323, 341 and 326 IPC at Police Station Division No.2, District Jalandhar.

Vide order dated 05.09.2016, the petitioner was directed to join the investigation. On 25.10.2016, the matter was again taken up and the learned State counsel made a statement that the petitioner had not joined the investigation. Consequently, the petitioner was directed to appear before the IO vide order dated 17.12.2016. When the matter came up for hearing on 17.12.2016 as counsel for the petitioner did not cause representation, the matter was ordered to be adjourned for today in the interest of justice.

Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner has not joined the investigation so far.

Keeping in view the fact that despite opportunities, the petitioner is not complying with the direction of this Court, therefore, this Court is of the opinion that the petitioner does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail. Consequently, the present petition is dismissed.

07.02.2017.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No