

235

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-30210 of 2017

Date of decision: October 24, 2017

Tarsem Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. D.N. Ganeriwala, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Sr. DAG Punjab.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in FIR No.42 dated 31.03.2017, under Sections 22, 61, 85 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substance Act, 1985, registered at Police Station Jaitu, District Faridkot.

This Court had granted bail to Mukesh Kumar alias Mithu and that the petitioner was said to be the supplier of the narcotics. The challan has been filed, however, the trial has not yet commenced. There is no other case against the petitioner. In view of the fact that there is no other case pending against the petitioner of the similar nature and the case is based on disclosure statement of Mukesh Kumar alias Mithu, I think the petitioner should be allowed the benefit of bail.

In that view of the matter, this petition is allowed.

Petitioner shall be released on bail subject to the satisfaction of the

Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned. Petitioner shall file undertaking before the trial Court that he will not indulge in similar or any other kind of offence in future. Petitioner shall deposit his passport with the trial Court. Petitioner shall not tamper, influence and threaten the prosecution witnesses and complainant as well.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

October 24, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No