

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-30199-2017 (O&M)  
Date of decision : 27.09.2017

Surjit Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN**

Present: Ms. Baljit Mann, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. A.S. Gill, Sr. DAG, Punjab,  
assisted by ASI Satnam Singh.

Mr. Gursimran Singh Madaan, Advocate,  
for the complainant.

**JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)**

The petitioners seek pre-arrest bail in case FIR No.184 dated 14.07.2017, registered under Sections 498-A and 406 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, at Police Station City Kapurthala.

Heard.

On 21.08.2017, the following order was passed:-

*“Learned counsel contends that the petitioners are parents-in-law of the complainant and the marriage is nine year old.*

*Notice of motion for 27.09.2017.*

*The petitioners are directed to join the investigation.*

*Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioners by the Arresting Officer, they shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:-*

*1.That they shall make themselves available for*

*interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*

*2.That they shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such*

*facts to the Court or to any police officer and;*

*3.That they shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.”*

Contents that the petitioners are parents-in-law of the complainant. No specific allegation has been levelled against them. The alleged dowry articles have been handed over to the complainant. The marriage in question is nine-year old. The petitioners have nothing to do with the matrimonial life of the co-accused/husband Narinder and the complainant.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that in pursuance of the order passed by this Court, the petitioners have joined the investigation and they are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 21.08.2017, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

**27.09.2017**  
*atulsethi*

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)**  
**JUDGE**

|                               |     |    |
|-------------------------------|-----|----|
| Whether speaking / reasoned : | Yes | No |
| Whether Reportable :          | Yes | No |