

CRM-M No. 30191 of 2017

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 30191 of 2017
Date of Decision : 24.08.2017

Saroop Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Sanjeev K. Virk, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Seena Mand, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J.(Oral)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 125, dated 16.06.2014, under Sections 22, 61, 85 of NDPS Act, registered at Police Station Sadar, District Jalandhar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the alleged recovery from the petitioner is less than the commercial quantity and he was granted regular bail by the trial Court but could not appear on 24.05.2017 as he had inadvertently noted the next date as 24.06.2017 instead of 24.05.2017. He further contends that the petitioner had been appearing before the trial Court on each and every date except on 24.05.2017.

Heard.

The petitioner had been granted regular bail by the trial Court and is stated to have been appearing on every date of hearing except on 24.05.2017. Non-appearance of the petitioner on 24.05.2017 appears to be on account of bona fide lapse in noting

the wrong date. Therefore, he deserves to be protected from arrest.

Consequently, the petition is allowed. The petitioner shall surrender before the trial Court and submit his bail bonds to its satisfaction.

August 24, 2017
kanchan

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>