

**208 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-30160 of 2017(O&M)

Date of Decision: 28.09.2017.

Priya Darshni and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. Narender Pal Bhardwaj, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Ashish Aggarwal, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Govind Chauhan, Advocate and
Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate,
for the complainant.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

CRM No. 31328 of 2017

The application is allowed. Annexures P-6 to P-13 are
taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

Main case

The present petition has been filed under Section 438
Cr.P.C seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 1279 dated 26.11.2016
registered under Sections 120-B, 380, 420, 467, 468 and 471 IPC at
Police Station City Karnal, District Karnal.

On 18.08.2017 this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that this is the second bail application filed by the petitioners and the first one was dismissed vide order dated 16.05.2017 (Annexure P-4). Learned counsel refers to Annexure P-2 to contend that dispute is inter se the family members and the compromise has been reached between the parties.

Notice of motion for 26.09.2017.

The petitioners are directed to join the investigation.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioners by the Arresting Officer, they shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:-

- 1.That they shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;
- 2.That they shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;
- 3.That they shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.”

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 18.08.2017, the petitioners have joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, states that the petitioners have joined the investigation and they are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of above, the order dated 18.08.2017 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to furnishing of bail-bonds/surety bonds by the petitioners to the satisfaction of the CJM/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

28.09.2017.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No