

**102 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.30084 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : August 18, 2017

Palak Thakral and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Petitioners are in person along with
Mr. Surinder Singh Kaliramna, Advocate.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioners, who are stated to be major, claim that they have married against the wishes of their parents on 11.08.2017. The photograph of the marriage has been placed on file as Annexure P-3. The photocopy of Aadhar Card of petitioner Nos.1 and 2, showing their date of birth have been placed on file as Annexures-P-1 and P-2 respectively.

It is claimed that the petitioners have moved an undated application (Annexure-P-4) before the police authorities for protecting their life and liberty as they apprehend danger to their life from the private respondents.

In these circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Superintendent of Police, Panipat, to consider the undated application of the petitioners (Annexure-P-4) and assess the threat perception to the life and liberty of the petitioners and if it is found that there is danger to the life of the petitioners, necessary steps be taken to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

However, it is made clear that this order shall not be taken to be the proof of valid marriage. Disposed of accordingly.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

August 18, 2017

sarita	
Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No