

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Sr. No. 111

Case No. : Crl. Misc. No. M-3008 of 2017

Date of Decision : January 31, 2017

Priyanka and another		Petitioners
vs.		
State of Haryana and others		Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL.

* * *

Present : Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. :

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have married each other against the wishes of the parents of petitioner No. 1 and on account of this act on their part, they are under threat of being eliminated at the hands of respondents No.3 to 5. In view of the above, seeking protection, a representation dated 27.01.2017 (Annexure P-5) was made on behalf of the petitioners to the Superintendent of Police, Ambala, District Ambala but to no avail.

In the above circumstances, the petitioners have approached this Court through the present petition.

Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the matter, particularly the validity of the alleged marriage, the Superintendent of Police, Ambala, District Ambala (respondent No. 2) is directed to forthwith

consider the aforesaid representation dated 27.01.2017 (Annexure P-5) made on behalf of the petitioners and thereafter take appropriate action on the same as is deemed fit in accordance with law to ensure the protection of life and liberty of the petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

January 31, 2017
monika

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned ?</i>	<i>Yes/No.</i>
<i>Whether reportable ?</i>	<i>Yes/No.</i>