

CRM NO. M-30079 of 2017 (O&M)

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM NO. M-30079 of 2017 (O&M)
DECIDED ON : 27.09.2017

Vishal Kumar @ Vicky @ Jeona

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present : Mr. Prashant Vashisth, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Seena Mand, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 195, dated 13.07.2017, under Sections 406, 420, 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Daba, District Ludhiana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner, who is an auto rickshaw driver, is not named in the FIR, which has been registered on the secret information that the accused mentioned therein had been obtaining the passwords of the persons, who used the ATM for withdrawal of their money and thereafter they had withdrawn the money by using those passwords from different ATMs.

This Court vide order dated 18.08.2017, had directed the petitioner to join investigation and on his doing so, he was to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the conditions

envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Harmesh Singh states that in pursuance to the order dated 18.08.2017 the petitioner has joined investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, the order dated 18.08.2017, granting ad-interim bail to the petitioner, is hereby made absolute. However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

September 27, 2017
kanchan

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>