

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

-:-

**CRM-M No.30945 of 2016
Date of Decision: 03.04.2017**

Atinder Pal Singh and others

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Mohinder Singh Gill, Advcoate for petitioners.
Mr. A.P.S.Gill, AAG, Punjab.

Ritu Bahri, J. (Oral)

Quashing of FIR No. 8 dated 24.8.2014 under Sections 420, 406 and 498 IPC, registered at Police Station NRI, Gurdaspur, District Gurdaspur is being sought on the basis of compromise dated 8.8.2016 (Annexure P-3).

Respondent No. 2 got married to petitioner No. 1 on 12.02.2012. Due to temperamental differences, both the parties could not live together as husband and wife. The relationship between them became strained and thereafter, the F.I.R was registered against the petitioners on account of harassing and humiliating respondent No. 2 on the pretext of bringing insufficient dowry.

However, the matter has now been duly compromised, vide compromise dated 8.8.2016 (Annexure P/3). In compliance of order dated 04.10.2016, report dated 2.3.2017 of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Gurdaspur has been received in this regard. As per report, statement of parties have been recorded. Complainant stated that the matter stands compromised between the parties and she does not want to proceed further against the accused and has no objection, if the present F.I.R be quashed against the petitioners. The compromise has been entered voluntarily. To the same effect is the statements

Consequently, in view of the status report dated 2.3.2017 and in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Dr. Arvind Barsaul etc. versus State of Madhya Pradesh and another 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 910***, no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No. 8 dated 24.8.2014 under Sections 420, 406 and 498 IPC, registered at Police Station NRI Gurdaspur, District Gurdaspur, is quashed along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

3.4.2017
preeti

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No