

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-30076-2017

Date of Decision : 28.11.2017

Beant Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: None

H.S.MADAAN, J. (ORAL)

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

Similar was the position on the last date of hearing.

By way of filing the present petition, petitioner craves for issuance of direction to respondents No.1 to 3 for registration of FIR under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC etc. against respondents No.4 and 5. However, in view of the ratio of authority **Sakiri Vasu Versus State of U.P. and others, 2008(1) R.C.R.(Criminal) 392**, the petitioner has remedy to approach the Magistrate under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C. for registration of FIR. The petitioner is not shown to have approached the Court of Magistrate at the first instance. Further, there being no representation on behalf of the petitioner for two successive

dates goes to show that petitioner is not interested in further prosecution of the present petition.

The petition stands dismissed accordingly.

28.11.2017

Brij

(H.S. MADAAN)

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking :

Yes/No

Whether reportable :

Yes/No