

**216 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-30013 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 13.09.2017.

Mohit

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. R.S. Saroha, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

This is second bail application under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case FIR No.380 dated 30.07.2016 registered under Sections 120-B, 186, 224, 225, 332, 341, 353, 395 and 397 IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station City Bahadurgah District Jhajjar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that neither the petitioner is named in the FIR nor was any Test Identification Parade carried out. The petitioner is not involved in any other FIR. The petitioner has been framed in the present FIR on the statement of co-accused Parveen, Deepak and Rohit.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel submits that the petitioner attacked the police party while they were going to the Court for attending the hearing. The petitioner allegedly put chilli powder in the eyes of the police people and caused injuries. The

assailants got released an under-trial prisoner from the custody of the

police and decamped with firearms of the police personnel.

Heard.

This is second bail application of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner has failed to refer to any fresh circumstance in favour of the petitioner during the interregnum period.

Considering the gravity of the offence and taking into consideration the fact that out of seven accused, three accused, namely, Jatinder, Rohit and Irfan have been declared proclaimed offenders, no case for bail is made out.

Dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

13.09.2017.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No