

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29997 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 20.09.2017

Gurpreet Singh @ Shera

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Rishu Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. A.S. Gill, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No.254 dated 30.07.2015, registered under Sections 302, 460, 392 and 472 of IPC, at Police Station Civil Lines, Amritsar City.

It is contended that neither the petitioner named in the FIR nor any injury has been attributed to him. Subsequently, the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant FIR on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused Mandeep Singh. The petitioner is in custody since 06.10.2015.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

This is the second bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioner. The first bail petition was dismissed as withdrawn, vide order dated 27.01.2017. The learned counsel for the petitioner has not been able to point out any fresh circumstance in favour of the petitioner. Considering the nature of offence and role of the petitioner, no case for regular bail is made out.

Dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

However, considering the fact the petitioner is in custody since 06.10.2015, the trial Court is directed to make an endeavour to conclude the trial expeditiously.

20.09.2017

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No