

**104 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-2990-2017
Date of decision-30.01.2017**

**Ajay Jain
Vs.
State of Haryana**

**...Petitioner

...Respondent**

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Rohit Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

This petition under Section 438 of the Criminal Procedure Code has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.35 dated 19.11.2014 registered under Section 306 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code (in short 'IPC') and Sections 3 and 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act at Police Station GRP, District Amabala Cantt.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that after registration of the FIR, the petitioner had been regularly appearing before the trial Court. On a single absence, the impugned order (Annexure P-2) has been passed. Learned counsel further states that the trial Court was swayed by the conduct of co-accused, namely, Mohinder Thakur who had repeatedly been seeking exemption from personal appearance.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. A complete copy of the paper book has been furnished to the learned counsel, in the Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone

through the case file.

Considering the fact that the petitioner had been regularly appearing before the trial Court, it is ordered that in case the petitioner surrenders before the competent Court within one week from today, he be admitted to bail, on his furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds, to its satisfaction.

Disposed of.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

January 30, 2017
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No