

CRM No. M-29859 of 2017(O&M)

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-29859 of 2017(O&M)
Date of Decision : 15.09.2017

Kuldeep Singh and others

...Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present : Mr. H.S. Jalal, Advocate for
Mr. Sukhdeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Senior Deputy Advocate General,
Punjab.

Mr. K.B.S. Mann, Advocate for respondents No. 2 to 5.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking quashing of Criminal Complaint No. COMI/0000525/2014 dated 16.04.2014 titled as Bohar Singh Vs. Gurpreet Singh @ Gopi and others, under Sections 323, 324, 326, 34 IPC pending in the Court of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Malout on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the complaint is a cross version of FIR No. 15 dated 05.03.2014, under Sections 452, 323, 324, 148, 149 IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar Malout, District Sri Muktsar Sahib, which has been quashed on the basis of compromise by an order of even date passed in CRM No. M-29672 of 2017. Learned counsel for the petitioners also contends that the instant complaint is outcome of

a property dispute between the parties and the matter has now been compromised by the parties.

A coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 17.08.2017 had directed the parties to appear before the trial Court for recording their statements with regard to the genuineness of compromise. The report of the Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Malout, dated 06.09.2017, has been received, wherein it is stated that in pursuance to the order of this Court, the statements of the parties were recorded, which indicates that they have entered into compromise voluntarily and without any coercion or pressure.

The complaint is outcome of a property dispute between the parties. Now the matter has been compromised and the parties want to live in peace and harmony.

In view of the law laid down in *"Narinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab"* 2014 (6) SCC 466, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the criminal proceedings. Therefore, the petition is allowed and Criminal Complaint No. COMI/0000525/2014 dated 16.04.2014 titled as Bohar Singh Vs. Gurpreet Singh @ Gopi and others, under Sections 323, 324, 326, 34 IPC and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the petitioners only.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

September 15, 2017
kanchan

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>