

205

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-29853 of 2017

Date of decision: November 15, 2017

Mahender

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. J.S. Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanisha Peshawaria, DAG, Haryana.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival partis.

Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in FIR No.434 dated 29.07.2017, registered under Sections 406, 420, 506 read with 34 of Indian Penal Code, at Police Station City Palwal, District Palwal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a Class-IV employee and it is impossible to believe that he is indulging in such an offence that is alleged against him namely acted as *Tantrik*.

Per contra, learned counsel for the State filed an affidavit of Abhimanyu, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Palwal, along with statements of five witnesses.

I have gone through the statements of five witnesses and

find that the offence is anti social and this Court cannot promote or put premium for the petitioner indulging in *Tantra Vidya* and in also having taken money from the people for false promises.

In that view of the matter, anticipatory bail is dismissed.

Department is directed to take departmental action against the petitioner.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

November 15, 2017

anju rani

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No