

V.S. RATHORE EASI @ VIJAY SINGH
Versus

...Petitioner(s)

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arjun Singh Yadav, AAG, Haryana.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J. (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

The allegations against the petitioner are about sending filthy messages and videos to the lady colleague staff. The petitioner is aged about 52 years and has rendered service of 25 years. If the allegations are true then the petitioner should not indulge in immoral activities who is in decisive discipline force. The state of Haryana is to make a departmental inquiry against the petitioner and find out a truth and thereafter proceed according to law. Insofar as the present FIR is concerned the petitioner is granted anticipatory bail since all the records, encryptic evidence is a matter of record.

In that view of the matter, the petitioner shall be released on anticipatory bail on his furnishing bail bonds amounting to R10,000/- with one surety of like amount, subject to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned who may impose such conditions as deem fit and proper. Petitioner shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence, threaten or exercise any influence on the prosecution witnesses.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

August 25, 2017
Sunil Devi

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes / No
Yes / No