

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

110

**CRM-M-29830-2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 17.08.2017.**

Isha and another

....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present: Mr. Sunil Kumar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. S.P.S. Aulakh, Advocate for respondent No.4.

Mr. A.S. Chauhan, Advocate for respondent No.5.

Ramendra Jain, J.(Oral)

Separate Vakalatnama on behalf of respondents No.4 and 5 have been filed.

Petitioner No.1 has moved an affidavit through her counsel submitting that she does not need any protection and wants to accompany her parents, present in the Court. She has also undertaken to appear on each and every date in this case. The affidavit be taken on record.

Learned counsel for respondent No.4 (mother of petitioner No.1) submits that petitioner No.2 is already married with one Pooja and thus averments in Para No.11 of the petition, that it is first marriage of the parties, is wrong. The factum of first marriage by petitioner No.2 with Pooja is not denied by counsel for the petitioners.

In view of false pleadings in Para No.11 of the petition, that it is a first marriage of the petitioners, petitioner No.2 is issued show cause notice to explain as to why proceedings should not be initiated against him under Section 340 Cr.P.C. as well the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

In view of the above discussion, the instant petition seeking protection to their life and liberty qua the petitioners is dismissed. However, case is adjourned to 29.09.2017 for reply of petitioner No.2 to the show cause notice for initiating proceedings under Section 340 Cr.P.C. and the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

17.08.2017
jitender sharma

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

Whether Reportable : Yes/ No