

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-29743-2017
Date of Decision: 06.10.2017**

Sanjay Kumar & another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Rajnish Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Pardeep Prakash Chahar, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vinod Bhardwaj, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

Counsel for the petitioners submits that pursuant to the order dated 19.09.2017, the petitioners have vacated the premises in dispute and do not want to pursue the quashing petition and the same may be dismissed as withdrawn.

Counsel for the respondent-State on instructions from ASI Satish Kumar also confirms the fact that the possession of the premises has since been handed over to the mother i.e. Respondent No. 4 herein.

At this stage, counsel for respondent No. 4 raises an objection by submitting that there are still several articles which are lying in the premises and the petitioners herein should be directed to vacate the premises in its entirety and remove all their articles from the said premises.

Since the petitioners have vacated the said premises and have

handed over the keys, this Court is not inclined to entertain this matter any further. However, in case the petitioners are so inclined, they may go and take possession of the said articles which are lying there after informing respondent No.4.

Petition stands disposed of.

06.10.2017
Rekha

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No