

CRM-M-2968-2017

[1]

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND  
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(Sr. No.254)

CRM-M-2968-2017

Date of Decision:-May 12, 2017

Tarsem Singh and others

.....Petitioners

V/S

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present : Ms. Sukhmani T. Patwalia, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

Mr. D.S. Virk, DAG Punjab.

Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate,  
for respondent No.2-complainant.

**A.B. Chaudhari, J.** (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.212 dated 07.10.2016 registered under Section 420 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Civil Lines, Patiala the petitioners are being prosecuted.

Learned counsel for the petitioners as well as the learned counsel for the complainant state that the compromise has been arrived at between the parties. This Court had directed the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to record the statements vide order dated February 27, 2017 in view of the compromise effected between the parties and verify that whether the same is genuine or not. Now, there is a report received from the District and Sessions Judge, Patiala showing that the statements of the parties have been recorded and compromise that has been arrived at

between the parties is genuine and without any pressure, coercion or undue influence.

Looking to the nature of the offence registered in the FIR, I think, parties should be allowed to compound/compromise the offence in order to live in peace and harmony.

In that view of the matter, FIR No.212 dated 07.10.2016 registered under Section 420 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Civil Lines, Patiala is quashed by way of compounding/compromise along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

Disposed of.

**May 12, 2017**

Pankaj

**(A.B. Chaudhari)**  
**Judge**

Whether speaking/reasoned                      Yes/No

Whether reportable                                      Yes/No